Collections Services Council Meeting of October 15, 2021

(11a-12p) (Meeting changed due to conflict with the LAUC-B conference)

Minutes: Adnan Malik

Guests: Mohamed Hamed, Osman Celik.

- Announcements (via email)
- GreenGlass Working Group Update
 - Moving into the second phase of looking at analysis and possible actions based on data. Chan shared some preliminary data from a project to create a list of titles to send to NRLF and sought feedback. Mohamed also shared the data from his project based on numbers from Backstage and other vendors provided by Osman. The rates of checking out materials for various languages were similar in both data sets. Adding vernacular fields to Arabic records seems to have positively affected the rate of checkouts. The poor quality of catalog records is also a factor that affects discoverability.
 - Jo Anne wondered how our collections compared with our peer groups in terms of overlap and uniqueness, and how that information could inform preservation and shared print and collection development strategies.
 - Greenglass analysis
 - Mohamed's GG Foreign Language Analysis
- UC Shared Print Communication Strategy
 - Jo Anne will share communications toolkit (CDL site) and SCLG information with CDLG and CSC when available
 - Information will also be included in SLM for liaisons and available externally on scholarly resources public page
 - JNR/and or Brian Quigley (on team that developed communication toolkit) will meet with LIBR- November/December meeting (don't have date yet), get feedback from LIBR to inform liaison communique with departments
 - JNR et all (CDLG- Brian Q) will set up information session for liaisons, go thru toolkit, FAQs, process - timeframe: November, right after LIBR meeting
 - JNR send message with template for liaisons to send out to department contacts, copy JNR, timeframe: after LIBR meeting
 - Liaisons handle q/a if comes up, have CDLG to help if need be, additional presentations/info sessions can be made if departments are interested
- DEIBJA Working Group Draft Charge (a very much a rough draft was shared for comment)
 - Jesse gave a summary of the charge of the working group and long term aims, and then invited discussion.
 - CSC discussed various aspects of the draft.
 - Anna and Jose volunteered to work on the draft. Jo Anne asked everyone to give more input and develop the draft by the next meeting and maybe launch in spring.
 - A timeframe in stages can be launched starting next spring with phases following over the subsequent six months. This will help get feedback on one phase before going on to the next.
 - Jo Anne encouraged the sharing of the four pillars with others to get feedback.