

Collection Services Council Meeting of May 4, 2021

Members: José Adrián Barragán-Álvarez, Jean Dickinson, Natalia Estrada, Mohamed Hamed, Chan Li, Sherry Lochhaas, Toshie Marra, Jo Anne Newyear-Ramirez, Liladhar R. Pendse, Stacy Reardon (co-chair), Anna Sackmann, Michael Sholinbeck, Jesse Silva (co-chair), Hannah Tashjian, Tim Vollmer

Meeting Day/Time: 1st Tuesday of the month, 10a-11:30a
Usually no meeting in January or August

May 4, 2021

Minutes: Mohamed

Guests: Lily Castillo-Speed, Susan Edwards, Shannon Kealey

- Announcements
- Working group updates
 - GreenGlass
 - The group will meet with the Circulation team to discuss the possible use of GG for circulation lists.
 - Jo Anne mentioned that GreenGlass produced a new module for serials. The Library will wait for SILS then to get the new module, which hopefully will be around the fall or later.
 - Data Acquisitions
 - No updates
- User perceptions on ebooks - Chan Li
 - [Comparison among faculty, graduate students and undergraduate](#)
 - [Results from undergraduate by demographic parameters](#)
 - [Results from graduate by demographic parameters](#)
 - [Results from faculty by demographic parameters](#)
 - [Chan Li](#) showed some of the results of the surveys above for different user populations regarding perceptions on E-books. This includes faculty, graduate students, and undergraduate students.
 - Faculty:
 - The survey was conducted before the pandemic, at the end of 2018.
 - This group valued having more E-books available as the top choice. In general, all the choices are between 72% for the top option to 67% for “Reading on my tablet” as the lowest option.
 - In this group, the disciplinary differences are smaller than the disciplinary differences with students’ groups.
 - Graduate Students:
 - This group ranked “Downloading selected chapters” as the most valued with 81%.
 - By division, Arts and Humanities valued the options higher than other disciplines.
 - Undergraduate students:
 - This group also ranked “Downloading selected chapters” at the top as the most valued. In general, for this group all the options were high, and close in ranking, with 78% as the top choice to 68% as the lowest choice.

- When looking at the levels, students in higher division ranked all options higher.
 - When looking at the ethnicity, students with different ethnicities valued the options more than white students.
- Discussion with user perceptions on ebooks - Chan Li
 - These values might be higher after the pandemic, with more E-book use than normal. Chan hopes to work with the campus in the future to examine the impact of the pandemic on the values of E-books.
 - The results are not comparing physical book access/use vs e-book access use (it's just focused on e-books); so it is worth investigating in the future, if the preference changed post pandemic.
 - It is worth mentioning that downloading is not possible through some platforms. For that, reviewing agreements with vendors may be needed to address this as it is a desired option especially for the students.
 - Copy, paste, and highlighting are also valued options for E-books.
- Group Discussion DEIB in Collections
 - CSC had small group discussions on the following questions:
 - How would you define or describe DEIB in collections? What would it look like? Try to think broadly about the whole library, not just your assigned subject or work responsibility.
 - Did anything from the readings resonate with you? Is there something you read about, or learned about in a different setting, that you would like to see implemented here with respect to DEIB and collections?
 - What are some easy wins we could implement to incorporate DEIB more fully into collections?
 - What are some challenges we should be aware of? How could we resolve those challenges?
 - Is there anything else we should be asking at this stage?