Cataloging and Metadata Council Meeting of February 1, 2021

Notetaker: Jean Dickinson.

Regrets: None

I.General new, last-minute updates and changes or updates.

- a. Frank F. asked for an update on the standing of the Linked Data Interest Group (LDIG). Jo Anne N.-R.and Salwa I. replied that they will send out an invitation to all, to join it, in the next few days. This is to be a committee with a "casual" membership, not one with charges and projects attached.
 - b. Jo Anne announced that the job for Head of Metadata Svs. has been posted. We should let Jane R. know if we have an especial candidate that Jane and Jo Anne could contact.

c. Michael C.-Q. announced that BAM/PFA will be moving its off-site storage around, to an area that has films.

d. Salwa announced a UC-hosted webinar on special collections and archives, titled "Doors Wide Open: Advancing Inclusive Access to Special Collections," May 4 at

10:30.https://ucsc.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_pc9tynoQQbmf9AgZSgegcA

e. Jackie Gosselar, our new Systems & Discovery Librarian, will be joining this group in March.

f. Salwa reminded that we have a CMC listserv, and we can always share things there. <u>cmcouncil@lists.berkeley.edu</u>

II. Next steps for efficient archival processing.

From our last discussion about this in December, where would we like to go from there? Peter Zhou and Jeff Mackie-Mason were interested in this work. Salwa reminds that EAP can be for non-archival work too.

a. Jo Anne proposes that 1-2 volunteers work with her, Salwa, and Jane to decide on next steps.

The next step will be: a charge for the group. Salwa recommended that one of the 2 volunteers should be from EAL. This charge should be finalized on March 1. The charge can then go to Cabinet on March 3.

After the charge work, Jo Anne will bring it to Lara Michaels, Mary Elings, and James Eason.

~ Frank Ferko volunteered.

~ Who Else?? -- let Jo Anne or Salwa know in the next day or two.

III. UC-wide SILS update.

a. Salwa told us about the current work of the UCB SILS Governance Group.

b. There will be a UCB "train the trainer" week, March 8-12. All-staff training will be later, some time in April.

c. The Naming group decided on the name for our new catalog, that encompasses ALL the old tools for public catalog searching: "UC Library Search."

d. A question was asked about whether Tind will be in Primo. Answer: Yes.

e. A question was asked about whether item-level records at NRLF will migrate? Answer: Yes.

f. The Test Load will arrive in March.

IV. SILS Resource Management (UC-wide and UCB) update.

Systemwide update (which is mirrored by UCB RMFG work):

- 1. Systemwide RMFG currently has a working document going, with remarks and answers to Questions the WG is asking us. (e.g. :
 - What are the top three topics / issues that your group is discussing or working on right now? What impact do those topics have on the project?
- a. Who does what in the NZ, how, when, and who do they tell?
- b. Baseline import profiles and rules for go-live
- c. OCLC WorldCatUpdates
- d. E-resources
 - Is there anything your group has discovered can be standardized across the UCs?
- a. Local fields
- b. Some import options
- c. Some configurations
 - Can you give us an example of a topic that you have been working on with other groups?
 - a. Discovery
 - i.Timing issue: asked for feedback as the Vanguard was wrapping up and RMFG reps were busy prepping for test load
- b. ILSDC

.Vanguard testing: translating testing into data cleanup projects

- Are there blocks or difficulties you see looming in the horizon?
- a. Need for network decisions: things that used to be small decisions at a campus are now bigger discussions
- b. Communication, especially around policy setting and shared processes
- c. Lack of a usual "central office"
- d. Bandwidth/stamina
- e. Maintenance going forward
 - Is there anything you need from WG in terms of support?
 - Communication across SILS groups, esp. Ones in different governance branches
 - Continued support for FG members who need to prioritize SILS and possibly adjust current workloads. Etc.

2. Systemwide RMFG also currently has a working document going, on Collaborative workflow testing. In this document, workflow scenarios are occupying our time lately. (e.g.:

RLF requests. E-resource purchasing. Batch deleting NZ records. HathiTrust ingest, Etc.

3. About training catalogers (and others):

We will have to write a lot of our training from scratch. It's all very different. And unfortunately, we won't be able to simply use an Alma campus's already-existing information because of the difference in a consortium.

Important to know!! : Alma updates itself very often. This causes trouble for writing up procedures for catalogers, and having helpful screenshots in the training documentations, etc.

4. WorldCat Updates service.

RMFG has tested WorldCat Updates and is deciding how we want to implement it. We will keep testing this during Test Load.

UCLA, which has been using this before, is working on WorldCat Update configuring details currently.

They are excluding at least these two categories: Special collections; some formats (maybe maps). They are Including serials, because they've always been doing WorldCat Updates on serials.

We have not made a final decision yet about how the other campuses are going to do this, or what types of collections may be left out or not, etc. 5. UCLA's RMFG will be forming some subgroups, including: Record import (cross-functional with Acq). E-bookplates and SPACs (special collections codes). Cataloging statistics. SRLF processes.

6. Upcoming important issues we want to work on, among other things:

- CZ records. All about how to work with them.
- Batch work. (ditto).

Update from the local Resource Management Function Group

- Configuration form turned in to Jackie G.
- Currently: evaluating testing for Test
- Training plan -- local RMFG to design and execute training; RMFG are the trainers, with a possible few more additions (Tech Leads)

A question was asked about losing local data and handling overlapping campus records. Answer: we won't lose local data.

V. SILS TSELG (Technical Services Escalation Group) update (UC-wide).

Jo Anne described this group's work briefly:

- a. They are working on consortial tools for records;
- b. they are looking at GOBI (the "gold" level);
- c. they are streamlining e-theses and dissertations;
- d. They are talking about RLF records and how they may be standardized.

VI. Wrap-up:

a. Our next meeting is Monday, March 1.

b. When Jackie Gosselar joins us, we'll do introductions and talk about how systems and discovery can work with CMC.