COLLECTIONS INTEGRITY TASK FORCE
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
NOVEMBER 2007-11-27

To: Elizabeth Dupuis, Charles Eckman
From: Collections Integrity Task Force

Subject: Executive Summary of our Recommendations.

The Collections Integrity Task Force (CITF) was created in April 2007 by Elizabeth Dupuis and Charles Eckman to review the current status of collections security in Doe Library and to make recommendations for improving our security practices and increasing the success rate of collection users in finding materials in the Gardner Stacks.

Please find attached the full text of the Collections Integrity Task Force report, which describes our investigations and conclusions and includes a detailed explanation of our recommendations.

Below we summarize the most important recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Review all aspects of our technical services, conservation, circulation and routing procedures to insure that all of them promote the prompt arrival of materials at their ultimate shelving locations.
- Review policies for housing "in process" materials and develop simple, reliable methods for finding items in response to requests for specific items by users.
- Create a system to mask "withdrawn" items from public view in the online catalogue, while maintaining staff's ability to see those items when needed.
- Develop a plan for the targeted use of security cameras in selected high-risk areas of the Gardner Stacks to discourage theft and damage.
- Continue the practice of providing funding for replacing missing and damaged Gardner Stacks materials (including those in NRLF).
- Train and evaluate selectors in best practices of collection maintenance, including regular replacement of missing and damaged materials (as appropriate).
- A systematic program of periodic inventory for the collections is **urgently** needed.
- The Library should take urgent measures to deal with the alarmingly high number of damaged or deteriorated materials identified by our surveys of the Gardner Stacks collection.
- Create a special locked facility ("The Keep) for "medium rare" materials not suitable for integration in the collections of The Bancroft Library.

COLLECTIONS INTEGRITY TASK FORCE
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS
NOVEMBER 2007

CITF Members: Willyce Kim, Barclay Ogden, Imani Abalos, Mark Marrow, Phoebe Janes, Kathryn Wayne, Anthony Bliss, James H. Spohrer (chair).

PART I: DETAILED ANALYSIS OF COLLECTION SECURITY

A. Collections Losses by Call Number Ranges

Two user surveys have led the Library to conclude that the incidence of lost, missing or "not on shelf" items is a significant source of frustration for users. While there are no recognized national standards in academic libraries for 'normal" or "acceptable" rates of loss or percentage of collection items which are determined to be "not on shelf", it is possible to calculate with reasonable accuracy the annual loss rate over a period of time and to discern trends in collection security.

Since the Library uses two categories to designate materials which are no longer present in the collection—"missing" items which have come to our attention through search requests from users seeking the items, and "withdrawn" items which have at some point been reviewed by selectors who have decided not to replace them—we have studied a large sample of both these categories to determine the overall "not on shelf" levels for the Gardner Stacks collections. One goal of our task force has been to determine empirically if there are specific subject areas of the Gardner Stacks collections which suffer disproportionate losses and which therefore could benefit from enhanced security measures.

Not surprisingly, there is considerable consistency in the "not on shelf" rates for both missing and withdrawn items when considered by LC class. The following table summarizes the total percentage of withdrawn items and missing items in the Gardner Stacks collection and compares them to the relative percentage of the Stacks occupied by each single letter of the LC Classification System.

CURRENT GARDNER STACKS PERCENTAGES
WITHDRAWN AND MISSING ITEMS
BY LC CLASS
(UNIVERSE OF 12,142 WITHDRAWN AND 5,722 MISSING ITEMS)

LC Class	% of total withdrawn	% of total missing	% of Gardner Stacks collection
A	0.8%	1.6%	7.5%
B	9.3%	8.8%	6.4%
C	1%	0.8%	0.8%
D	16.8%	13.2%	15.9%
E	6.2%	2.6%	1.7%
F	4.9%	3.2%	2.4%
G	3.8%	2.4%	1.8%
H	15.2%	15.3%	16.7%
J	4.4%	4.4%	9.3%
K	1.3%	0.8%	1.1%
L	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%
M	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
N	5.2%	4.8%	3.4%
P	20.3%	30.8%	24.1%
Q	2.2%	4.3%	1.3%
R	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%
S	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
T	1.9%	2.2%	0.8%
U	1.2%	0.7%	0.4%
V	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Z	2.2%	1.1%	2.8%

When withdrawn rates and missing rates for a given class are roughly equal, we can conclude that selectors are replacing materials in that class at a level which is consistent with the overall loss of materials in the class. In the two charts above, the outlying classes (those that deviate most from one another in the withdrawn and missing categories) are

class E (6.2% of the withdrawns and 2.6% of the missings) and class P (20.3% of the withdrawn items and 30.8% of missings). This leads to the conclusion that the replacement rate for class P missing items is significantly lower than that for class E, and also significantly lower than for all LC classes taken together.

When there is a significant discrepancy between the withdrawn and missing rates on one hand and the percent share which a given class represents in the Gardner Stacks, we can conclude that this class suffers from losses to a greater or a lesser degree than one would expect statistically. The outliers in this category are classes E, N, P and Q, all of which suffer higher losses than their percentage of the Gardner Stacks collections would lead us to expect. On the other hand, classes A and J both had a considerably lower rate of missing/withdrawn items than their rank in the Gardner Stacks would lead us to expect.

It is important to note that class N, which we expected to have higer rates of missing and withdrawn items, does not appear to be out of equilibrium when compared to its percentage of the Gardner Stacks as a whole. This leads us to conclude that its missing items are being replaced at a rate which is approximately consistent with the replacement rates for the Gardner Stacks as a whole.

It is also crucial to add that the table above does not refer to vandalized volumes or items otherwise damaged and in need of repair or replacement; these types of materials are covered in **Part I:B** below.

B. Sources of Losses: theft, vandalism, normal wear and tear, internal library processes.

It is no more than a truism that most missing or withdrawn books in the Main Collections have been stolen (or at least—given the large annual number of billed replacements—not returned by the last library user to have checked them out). In any event, the CALIPR studies conducted by Barclay Ogden and the Preservation Department for the CITF indicate that the total number of missing items, expressed as a percentage of the whole Gardner Stacks collection, is very low and in fact approaches zero. (See **Appendix B**.)

The same, though, is not true when we try to estimate the number of Gardner Stacks items which are either in a deteriorated condition or are at some risk of deterioration: The Library lacks a methodology for reliably determining or recording the specific reason for which an item is “not on shelf” or otherwise not available for use. However, the CALIPR study performed by the Preservation Department in connection with CITF’s review of the collections has revealed that there are very large numbers of volumes which lack parts or pages, are broken into pieces or are otherwise deteriorated, as well as an alarming number of unbound materials which are at greater risk for damage or deterioration than bound volumes.

Several indices suggest that our losses to theft have been decreasing from year to year and decade to decade; the large number of older materials which are missing or withdrawn indicate that our loss rate was higher in years past; also the average rate of

losses per five year period has declined by 14.9% overall since 1997/98-2001/02. (See the composite figures for this period in **Appendix C**.) Two measures are responsible for this improvement: the first was to place security tape in every newly received volume, and the second was the retrospective taping project for all volumes in the Gardner Stacks in the 1990s. As a result, 88 per cent of Gardner items are now taped. **But over 2,193,000 Gardner volumes** (both on and off campus), including 12% of the books in the Gardner Stacks, **are not currently marked with security tape**.

Normal wear and tear, which ultimately results in the need to repair, replace or withdraw items, can be significantly retarded by binding unbound materials, and the CALIPR report for the Gardner Stacks collection indicates that there are over 340,000 volumes in this category. Moreover, broken volumes or those missing parts or pages, and those displaying other signs of deterioration total almost 560,000 volumes (including Gardner Stacks volumes in NRLF).

The CITF originally speculated that internal library processes, such as interdepartmental routing, the temporary stockpiling of volumes in technical services, conservation or public service units, and even "insider" theft might contribute to the incidence of "not on shelf" items in the Gardner Stacks collections. While these problems may have been present in the past and have contributed to the loss and "not on shelf" rates in previous years, the CALIPR report suggests strongly that they are no longer a source for concern: in our random sample of 384 items from the Gardner Stacks collections (including volumes in NRLF), we recorded a 100% "correctly shelved" rate with a confidence level of 95%.

Regarding differential rates of damage to various parts of the Gardner Stacks collection, the three CALIPR subreports (for American Literature, Art History/Classics and British Literature) suggest that there are some differences among these subjects and also among them and the rates of damage for the Gardner Stacks (including NRLF) collections as a whole. However, these differences are not excessive and lead us to conclude that the rate at which materials in these subject areas were either missing parts or pages, broken into pieces, or otherwise deteriorated, is comparable to that for the Gardner Stacks (plus NRLF) as a whole.

Nonetheless, it is clear that for a subject such as Art History, which has a considerably higher cost per unit for its materials, it is more expensive to replace missing or damaged materials than for virtually any other Gardner Stacks category of materials. This alone is sufficient to justify an effort on the Library's part to provide this subject with a higher level of security than that of the collections at large.

C. Physical Factors Influencing Loss Rates

While the overall rate of items "not on shelf" in the Gardner Stacks is low, the members of the CITF did identify several components of physical security of the collections which should receive administrative attention.

1. It is clear that the level of security surveillance inside the Gardner Stacks is low. The visibility of the Library Security team needs to be increased to serve as a deterrent to vandalism and other unwanted behaviors, and there is a need for more adult security presence for late evening and weekend open hours when the level of Library functional staff is typically very low.

2. Since the data in the section detailing collections losses by call number ranges clearly indicates that some subject areas of the Gardner Stacks are more vulnerable to loss and depredation than others, we believe it is important to use tools such as security cameras in selected areas in order to deter theft and vandalism. (See **Appendix E** for specific recommendations.)

3. While the number and quality of perimeter security gates around the Gardner Stacks collection are adequate, we suggest that written procedures be put in place to train Circulation and Security staff in best practices and that a system of drills or tests for our systems be implemented.

D. Institutional Factors Influencing Loss Rates

We lack some basic tools to allow us to determine our loss and "not on shelf" rates accurately over time and to calculate our progress toward stated collections security goals.

1. We have no official annual or cumulative loss rate data showing how many volumes are lost, damaged or replaced each year.

2. There is no overall collections inventory information available to reconcile the actual state of the Gardner Stacks collections to the implied claims made by the online catalogues of availability. As a result all our estimates of loss rates and incidence of "not on shelf" are based on the element of demand for specific items by users rather than on an empirical survey of what really is and what is not "on the shelf."

3. While we have tools which allow us to calculate circulation statistics to a rather high degree of accuracy and plot changes over time, we do not have sophisticated online tools which would enable us to develop a detailed picture of collections security and its evolution over a period of years or decades.

E. Public Service Considerations

The ultimate public service objective of the Gardner Stacks collections is to provide users with the materials they need for teaching and research. Several factors combine to frustrate this aim and render the use of the collections more difficult and problematic.

1. Even though the **absolute rate** of Gardner Stacks missing, withdrawn and "not on shelf" items is low, the **aggregate number** of missing and withdrawn items

shown in the catalogue is over 20,000. Since over 12,000 of these items have been consciously withdrawn from the collections, we believe it would be relatively simple and painless to mask these withdrawn items from public view in the public catalogues (while keeping them available for view by library staff members) in order to eliminate the frustration caused by "rising expectations" when a catalogue record for a withdrawn item is found. Moreover, the online catalogues do not adequately or clearly inform the general public of what specific steps the Library has taken in the case of missing materials.

2. Due to the lack of an inventory system, there are potentially significant discrepancies between the holdings indicated in the online catalogues and the actual contents of the shelves; this is particularly true of multi-volume sets and analyzed sets. Moreover, there are frequent discrepancies between the brief holdings statement and the detailed holding statement for a given item, making the task of determining what the Library actually has virtually impossible without a physical inspection of the shelving location.

3. Replacement of Gardner Stacks losses has varied greatly over the decades, mostly in response to the availability of designated funds for the purpose of replacement. The ambiguities surrounding the management and availability of the "billed replacement" funds by the campus meant that for a considerable part of the period from 1983 through 1990, selectors had few or no designated replacement funds at their disposal. Following a change in campus and Library policy relative to these funds in the early 1990s, these replacement funds became more regular and predictable parts of the annual collections budget, allowing some selectors to make important inroads into replacement arrearages which had accumulated over many years. But there are great variations from subject to subject in the amounts requested, received and expended by selectors for replacement; as **Appendix D** shows, for the period from 1998 through 2007 there were large disparities in the amounts expended for replacement among funds which in other respects appeared to be quite similar to one another.

4. Fortunately for our users, the Gardner Stacks have an excellent (if complex) system of signage which is intended to lead users to the shelving locations of materials they have identified using our online catalogues. However, the Gardner Stacks are very large and do not follow either a completely intuitive or completely logical layout pattern, and there is no present provision for providing regular assistance by trained adult Library staff to users in finding materials. We believe this problem can be addressed by either assigning staff to be present in the Gardner Stacks during normal public service operating hours, or by the use of real time video connections from Gardner Stacks terminals to existing public service points.

PART II: CONCLUSIONS

The following are the **chief conclusions** of our working group:

- While there are significant historical losses in the Gardner Stacks collections, presumably due to theft over many past decades, the steps taken since 1994 to improve stack security have been largely successful and have reduced annual theft losses to levels we consider low.

- The recorded Gardner Stacks loss rate has varied widely over the period 1997 to 2007, from a high of 2,349 items in the former year to a low of 981 items in 2005. Over that period the average number of items declared missing each year was 1,389. In general the trend has been toward fewer missing items in recent years.

- The Gardner Stacks collections of NRLF are correctly shelved and easily retrievable, with a very low incidence of "not on shelf" materials requested for users.

- Despite anecdotal accounts of possible theft of materials in Technical Services in the past, there is no hard evidence to support the theory that such losses are continuing at the present time. However, the use of a wide variety of routing and backlogging techniques for "in process" materials causes confusion for users and Library staff alike, and is the source of frequent frustration in locating materials in a timely way in response to specific user requests.

- Inaccuracies in the online catalogue, in particular in records representing sets with many parts or volumes, or analytic entries, contribute significantly to the difficulties which both library users and library staff have in determining what the Library actually holds.

- The practice in recent years of augmenting replacement (X) funds for selectors has allowed significant progress to be made in replacing historical losses in at least some Gardner Stacks call number ranges.

- In addition to theft, vandalism and heavy use also take a toll—both annually and historically—on the Gardner Stacks collections. Our practice of binding only a fraction of the unbound materials added to the collections raises the risk of damage and deterioration for these materials.

- Theft and vandalism vary considerably from one LC class to another in the Gardner Stacks; some subjects have clearly experience more loss and damage than others.

- Our technical services, conservation, circulation and routing procedures contribute in some cases to the incidence of materials "not on shelf" in the Gardner Stacks.

- While the overall rate of "not on shelf" items is reassuringly low for Gardner Stacks collections, our survey identified an alarming number of damaged or deteriorated items in the collections requiring either some form of conservation treatment or in some cases requiring replacement.

PART III: PROPOSED SOLUTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES

As a result of the problems identified in the preceding pages and detailed in the accompanying appendices, the Collections Integrity Task Force recommends the following corrective measures to improve the security of the collections and make them easier to use.

A. Strengthen Gardner Stacks Security

1. The Library needs to reestablish a special locked cage facility for "medium rare" materials in the Gardner Stacks which are not suitable for transfer to The Bancroft Library's collections. Planning for such a facility should include provision for staff to page materials requested for users as well as a suitable supervised reader area in a staffed public service location to monitor use.

2. We should develop a plan for the targeted use of security cameras in selected high-risk areas of the Main Stacks to discourage theft and depredation of materials, as well as to ensure a safe environment for study. The task force spoke with several university libraries who, within the last six years, have installed security cameras in their stacks. The library directors for the University of San Francisco Law School Library and University of Wisconsin at Madison Memorial and Wendt Libraries reported since the installation of security cameras a reduction in the number of items vandalized. The libraries do not have hard data on this, but they are buying fewer replacement copies of vandalized books.

3. Strengthen policies and staff training regarding perimeter control, including instituting daily testing of security systems and drills to check staff responses to breaches of security.

4. Use adult security personnel to increase the incidence of staff patrols in the Gardner Stacks, particularly at open times when the "weekday daytime" roster of Library staff is not present in the building .

B. Phased collections inventory by call number ranges, starting with most at-risk materials.

We are in full agreement with the findings of the Gardner Stacks Survey Task Force in finding that a **complete inventory of the collections** to show exactly the status of every item listed in the online catalogues **is the most basic and essential tool for monitoring collections security.** We recommend that the Library institute a ten-year cycle of full inventory, dividing the collections into ten roughly equal segments by call number ranges and producing a complete inventory of one-tenth of the collection each year. We further recommend that the inventory **begin with subjects recognized to be at greater risk** to theft and depredation, such as classes N, P, H, D and B, and proceed to classes at lower risk in succeeding years. We also need to recognize that **folios** (including double and triple folios) in the Gardner Stacks are at particular risk and may need to be inventoried on a more frequent basis than other types of materials.

The results of these annual inventory installments should be used in two ways:

1. To correct errors in the online catalogues so that they are made to reflect the actual status of the materials on the shelves.

2. To inform selectors of the discrepancies discovered during the inventory and to require them to take appropriate actions, either by replacing or withdrawing items "not on shelf" or by filling in gaps when appropriate in cases where the Library never received all parts of a multi-volume set.

Please note that the ten-year inventory cycle is intended to be restarted at its conclusion and to become a permanent component of the Library's collection integrity strategy.

C. Systematic Review of Missing/Withdrawn Materials by Gardner Stacks Selectors to Replace Items as Appropriate.

The rates at which Gardner Stacks selectors replace missing items vary greatly from one selector to another. There may be logical reasons for some of these variations but on the whole they suggest that there are vastly different conceptions of the availability of replacement funding and of the need for active efforts in replacing materials from one selector to another. We recommend that Collections Council formulate clear guidelines to selectors regarding best practices for replacement of Gardner Stacks materials and that selectors be required to report formally each year to their supervisors on their activities in the question of replacements.

With regard to billed replacement costs for "missing" items, we recommend that Gardner Stacks adopt the current practice of the Subject Specialty Libraries in billing the true replacement cost rather than the currently standard $150 replacement fee for missing materials billed to users. We also recommend that the cost of acquisition (when known) of Gardner Stacks items be automatically added to the "detailed" Gladis record to simplify the process of determining the replacement cost for the Circulation Department.

Furthermore, we recommend that all necessary measures be taken (including efforts by the Library Development Office) to insure that the Library can **continue to provide adequate financial support to the replacement effort.** It must be kept in mind that there was a long fallow period in the Library's history during which little or nothing was done in the way of systematic replacement of Gardner Stacks losses, and we still need to deal with the cumulated unaddressed losses from this earlier period.

D. Deletion/Masking of Nonreplaced (Withdrawn) Items in Library Catalogues

"Withdrawn" items are a category which the Library has consciously decided not to replace, and as such they should not appear in the public catalogues in response to user searches. We recommend that these items be masked to the public and not included in search results for public users, although for collection maintenance purposes it should be possible for Library staff to view these records whenever necessary.

"Missing" materials are presumably awaiting either a decision by a selector regarding their replacement or the carrying out of that decision. Catalogue users deserve to know whether a decision has been made to replace an item and if so, when the matter will be resolved. We recommend that clear standardized terminology be added to records for missing materials to keep users informed about the progress toward replacement; if a decision is made not to replace an item, it should be declared withdrawn in a timely way and masked from public view as indicated in the preceding paragraph.

E. Implement Measures to Identify, Locate and Complete Processing or Treatment for "In Process" Materials.

While recent improvements in Technical Services routing and cataloguing procedures have meant that there are fewer "in process" materials and they remain in process for shorter periods, there are still some important backlogs of materials which are the subjects of frequent requests by our users. We recommend that the Library develop simple and reliable methods to enable it to track any "in process" item or any item which has been removed for any purpose from the location indicated for it in the online catalogues. We further recommend that the Library initiate an automated online request procedure so that users can request "in process" items directly and be assured of a timely and successful response to their requests.

F. Improve Public Services for Users in the Gardner Stacks

By design or oversight, there is virtually no regular adult Library staff presence in the Gardner Stacks, even during normal weekday operating hours. We recommend the Library develop a plan to provide either in-person assistance to users in the Gardner Stacks who are experiencing difficulties finding materials, or via real-time video connections ("CU See Me") to public service staff members. This is also one of the

recommendations of the Gardner Stacks Survey Task Force and we are in agreement with their conclusions in this regard.

G. Address the Issue of Damaged and Deteriorated Materials

The Library should take urgent steps to deal with the alarmingly high number of damaged materials (215,000 volumes) and deteriorated materials (301,000 volumes) identified by our surveys of the Gardner Stacks collection. The Library's preservation program focuses its resources on maintaining the most heavily used materials in serviceable condition, including binding heavily used paperbacks returning from circulation to extend their service lives. However, the preservation program cannot keep up with the amount of collection damage and loss from heavy use and deterioration, putting the collection and the Library investment which it represents at unacceptable risk. The preservation program should increase production by 50% (from 100,000 volumes currently to 150,000 volumes/year) to fully keep up with current needs (estimated at 125,000 volumes/year) and to make significant inroads (at 25,000 volumes/year) on the backlogs.

==========

Appendices:

A. CITF Charge and Cover Letter

B. CALIPR Reports (4)

C. Annual Gardner Stacks Missing Numbers 1997 to 2007

D. Year End Replacement Fund Reports

E.. Confidential Recommendation on Security Cameras

Appendix A. CITF Charge and Cover Letter (reformatted in MS Word)

1: Cover letter

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
THE LIBRARY BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720-6000

March 12, 2007
James Spohrer
Doe/Moffitt Libraries

Dear Jim,

Collections Council is interested in effectively monitoring whether material acquisition and preservation funds are being allocated in an effective manner. Concerns have been raised recently within the Council— and within the Doe/Moffitt Libraries—as to whether loss rates in the Gardner (MAIN) Stacks collections are at acceptable levels. For that reason, the AUL for Collections and AUL, Director of Doe/Moffitt, have decided to charge a joint Collections-Doe/Moffitt task force with assessing the scope of the problem and offering recommendations. The Task Force charge and roster are attached. We want to thank you for agreeing to serve as Chair of this important initiative. Please let us know if you have questions or concerns at any point throughout the course of the Task Force's work.

Elizabeth Dupuis Charles Eckman
AUL and Director of Doe/Moffitt AUL and Director of Collections

2: Charge

Collections Integrity Task Force

Members

Jim Spohrer (Chair), Doe/Moffitt Research & Collections for International and Area Studies
Imani Abalos, Doe/Moffitt Reference Services
Anthony Bliss, Bancroft Library
Phoebe Janes, Doe/Moffitt Research &Collections for Humanities & Social Sciences
Willyce Kim, Doe/Moffitt Circulation Services
Mark Marrow, Doe/Moffitt Circulation Services, Security Council liaison
Barclay Ogden, Preservation
Kathryn Wayne, Art History/Classics

Charge

The Collections Integrity Task Force is asked to explore the extent of material loss, mutilation, and physical condition (viability for ongoing service) across the Gardner (MAIN) Stacks general collections, with a particular emphasis on areas of known risk (rare, visual arts, history, literature, etc.). A sampling method using the CALIPR tool is recommended, also taking into account various factors impacting on-shelf availability: technical services processing status, circulation status, shelf order, re-shelving queues, etc.
Data gathered should include: (1) use of replacement funds for targeted categories of material; (2) historic sources such as the Gardner (MAIN) Stacks rare missings file maintained by Bonnie Bearden and GLADIS records of lost/missing items; and (3) ILL requests for material that is lost from the UCB collections. Consider value of conducting a longitudinal analysis to evaluate risk.

After collecting the data, an assessment phase should involve comparison of results to those of three previous studies conducted by The Library in order to identify the magnitude of the problem. The Task Force may wish to compare the results with studies at peer institutions in order to place the Berkeley situation in a broader context, with comments as to how our rate of material loss compares to the experience of our peers. If unacceptable rates of material loss are determined to exist, the Task Force should consider a variety of prevention or remediation strategies that have been effective locally in the past and at peer institutions. Recommendations should include suggestions as to appropriate strategies for the future.

The Task Force may wish to consult with the Gardner Stacks Survey Task Force and the Collections Data Working Group. A small amount of general assistance funding is available for supporting survey work. We are seeking a report no later than September 30, 2007 to be submitted to Chuck Eckman and Elizabeth Dupuis.

Appendix B: CALIPR reports (4)

Report No. 1: MAIN collection sample survey (whole collection)

A random sample of 384 items was drawn from the MAIN collection of 4,300,000 volumes. Part of the collection is housed at NRLF and part in the Gardner stacks. The follow table totals the findings for the questions asked of the items in the Gardner stacks and in NRLF separately, and combined. The percentages are estimates, of course, with a confidence interval of +/-5% and a confidence level of 95%, which is to say that if we repeatedly drew the same size random samples from the MAIN collection, the estimates would be within 5% of the estimated % below 19 out of 20 times. In cases where the estimated % was less than 0.5, the number was rounded to 0; in cases where the estimated % was more than 0.5, but less than 1, the number was rounded to 1. Consequently the zeros do not necessarily mean there were no yeses to some of the questions, but rather that the resulting % is too small to be statistically meaningful, given the +/-5% confidence interval.

Question	**% vols in Gardner**	**% vols. in NRLF**	**% vols. MAIN ttl.**	**# vols MAIN ttl.**
Charged?	5	1	3	129,000
Cons/Bindery?	0	0	0	0
Search?	0	0	0	0
Missing?	0	0	0	0
Withdrawn?	0	0	0	0
Circ code K?	0	0	0	0
Other?	0	0	0	0
On shelf in correct place?	100	100	100	4,300,000
Found elsewhere?	0	0	0	0
Date stamp <5 years	28	5	14	602,000
Defaced?	0	0	0	0
Tattle-taped?	88	23	49	2,107,000
Missing parts or pages?	1	0	1	43,000
Broken into pieces?	6	4	5	215,000
Deteriorated?	3	10	7	301,000
Paperback?	9	6	8	344,000

Report No: 2: MAIN /British Literature collection sample survey

A random sample of 96 items was drawn from the MAIN British 20th Century literature collection of 25,134 volumes. Part of the collection is housed at NRLF and part in the Gardner stacks. The follow table totals the findings for the questions asked of the items in the Gardner stacks and in NRLF separately, and combined. The percentages are estimates, of course, with a confidence interval of +/-10% and a confidence level of 95%, which is to say that if we repeatedly drew the same size random samples from the MAIN collection, the estimates would be within 10% of the estimated % below 19 out of 20 times. In cases where the estimated % was less than 0.5, the number was rounded to 0; in cases where the estimated % was more than 0.5, but less than 1, the number was rounded to 1. Consequently the zeros do not necessarily mean there were no yeses to some of the questions, but rather that the resulting % is too small to be statistically meaningful, given the +/-10% confidence interval.

Question	**% vols in Gardner**	**% vols. in NRLF**	**% vols. MAIN ttl.**	**# vols MAIN ttl.**
Charged?	5	0	3	754
Cons/Bindery?	0	0	0	0
Search?	0	0	0	0
Missing?	0	0	0	0
Withdrawn?	4	0	0	0
Circ code K?	0	0	0	0
Other?	0	0	0	0
On shelf in correct place?	98	100	99	24,883
Found elsewhere?	0	0	0	0
Date stamp <5 years	45	0	24	6,032
Defaced?	0	0	0	0
Tattle-taped?	98	68	53	13,321
Missing parts or pages?	2	0	1	251
Broken into pieces?	4	3	3	754
Deteriorated?	0	3	1	251
Paperback?	4	0	2	503

Report No. 3: MAIN /American Literature collection sample survey

A random sample of 96 items was drawn from the MAIN American 20th Century literature collection (PS3500-3576) of 41,730 volumes. Part of the collection is housed at NRLF and part in the Gardner stacks. The follow table totals the findings for the questions asked of the items in the Gardner stacks and in NRLF separately, and combined. The percentages are estimates, of course, with a confidence interval of +/-10% and a confidence level of 95%, which is to say that if we repeatedly drew the same size random samples from the MAIN collection, the estimates would be within 10% of the estimated % below 19 out of 20 times. In cases where the estimated % was less than 0.5, the number was rounded to 0; in cases where the estimated % was more than 0.5, but less than 1, the number was rounded to 1. Consequently the zeros do not necessarily mean there were no yeses to some of the questions, but rather that the resulting % is too small to be statistically meaningful, given the +/-10% confidence interval.

Question	**% vols in Gardner**	**% vols. in NRLF**	**% vols. MAIN ttl.**	**# vols MAIN ttl.**
Charged?	12	0	7	2,921
Cons/Bindery?	0	0	0	0
Search?	0	0	0	0
Missing?	0	0	0	0
Withdrawn?	0	0	0	0
Circ code K?	0	0	0	0
Other?	0	0	0	0
On shelf in correct place?	95	100	97	40,478
Found elsewhere?	0	0	0	0
Date stamp <5 years	47	10	31	12,936
Defaced?	0	0	0	0
Tattle-taped?	100	60	77	32,132
Missing parts or pages?	0	0	0	0
Broken into pieces?	4	17	7	2,921
Deteriorated?	0	0	0	0
Paperback?	2	0	2	835

Report No. 4:: MAIN / Art History/Classics collection sample survey

A random sample of 96 items was drawn from the MAIN Art History/Classics collection (N6000- end of N and TR) of 123,638 volumes. Part of the collection is housed at NRLF and part in the Gardner stacks. The follow table totals the findings for the questions asked of the items in the Gardner stacks and in NRLF separately, and combined. The percentages are estimates, of course, with a confidence interval of +/-10% and a confidence level of 95%, which is to say that if we repeatedly drew the same size random samples from the MAIN collection, the estimates would be within 10% of the estimated % below 19 out of 20 times. In cases where the estimated % was less than 0.5, the number was rounded to 0; in cases where the estimated % was more than 0.5, but less than 1, the number was rounded to 1. Consequently the zeros do not necessarily mean there were no yeses to some of the questions, but rather that the resulting % is too small to be statistically meaningful, given the +/-10% confidence interval.

Question	% vols in Gardner	% vols. in NRLF	% vols. MAIN ttl.	# vols MAIN ttl.
Charged?	4	3	3	3,709
Cons/Bindery?	0	0	0	0
Search?	0	0	0	0
Missing?	0	0	0	0
Withdrawn?	0	0	0	0
Circ code K?	0	0	0	0
Other?	0	0	0	0
On shelf in correct place?	96	100	98	121,165
Found elsewhere?	0	0	0	0
Date stamp <5 years	31	10	21	25,964
Defaced?	0	3	1	0
Tattle-taped?	98	42	72	89,019
Missing parts or pages?	0	0	0	0
Broken into pieces?	12	6	9	11,127
Deteriorated?	6	3	4	4,946
Paperback?	2	0	1	1,236

Appendix C: Annual Gardner Stacks Missing Numbers 1997/98 through 2006/2007

The following figures were obtained by Willcye Kim from internal files of Doe/Moffitt Circulation to show the annual number of Gardner Stacks missing items reported over the period form 1997 to 2007.

Gardner Stacks reported as missing:

1997/98.....2,349
1998/99.....1,537
1999/00.....1,279
2000/01.....1,150
2001/02.....1,187
2002/03.....1,477
2003/04.....1,273
2004/05.....981
2005/06.....1062
2006/07.....1590

Appendix D: Year End Replacement Fund Reports

YEAR END REPLACEMENT FUND REPORTS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1997/98 THROUGH 2006/07

YEAR	FUND	Appropriation	Expenditure	Encumbrance	CashBalance	FreeBalance
FY1998	afrix	$832.00	$111.00	$61.95	$721.00	$659.05
FY1999	afrix	$1,287.00	$150.19	$0.00	$1,136.81	$1,136.81
FY2000	afrix	$1,136.81	$305.06	$666.04	$831.75	$165.71
FY2001	afrix	$1,031.75	$238.92	$330.00	$792.83	$462.83
FY2002	afrix	$1,692.83	$743.70	$145.02	$949.13	$804.11
FY2003	afrix	$949.13	$117.75	$131.01	$831.38	$700.37
FY2004	afrix	$831.38	$38.14	$95.01	$793.24	$698.23
FY2005	afrix	$800.00	$455.58	$80.00	$344.42	$264.42
FY2006	afrix	$200.00	$48.93	$50.00	$151.07	$101.07
FY2007	afrix	$500.00	$17.88	$50.00	$482.12	$432.12
	afrix Total		$2,227.15			
FY1998	anthx	$1,071.00	$61.34	$0.00	$1,009.66	$1,009.66
FY1999	anthx	$1,815.66	$232.24	$236.01	$1,583.42	$1,347.41
FY2000	anthx	$1,583.42	$579.95	$207.02	$1,003.47	$796.45
FY2001	anthx	$1,203.47	$1,494.76	$173.00	($291.29)	($464.29)
FY2002	anthx	$4,808.71	$1,599.77	$166.01	$3,208.94	$3,042.93
FY2003	anthx	$3,208.94	$1,776.09	$426.04	$1,432.85	$1,006.81
FY2004	anthx	$1,932.85	$672.83	$306.02	$1,260.02	$954.00
FY2005	anthx	$2,300.00	$964.03	$111.00	$1,335.97	$1,224.97
FY2006	anthx	$1,000.00	$31.17	$61.00	$968.83	$907.83
FY2007	anthx	$500.00	$852.75	$165.00	($352.75)	($517.75)
	anthx Total		$8,264.93			
FY1998	arhtx	$2,333.00	$1,112.74	$269.90	$1,220.26	$950.36
	arhtx Total		$1,112.74			
FY1999	arhx	$12,439.26	$10,806.75	$3,368.60	$1,632.51	($1,736.09)
	arhx Total		$10,806.75			
FY2000	arthx	$1,632.51	$4,669.42	$155.01	($3,036.91)	($3,191.92)
FY2001	arthx	$4,963.09	$1,905.60	$7,317.02	$3,057.49	($4,259.53)
FY2002	arthx	$25,557.49	$11,304.31	$594.34	$14,253.18	$13,658.84
FY2003	arthx	$14,253.18	$4,956.07	$835.05	$9,297.11	$8,462.06
FY2004	arthx	$9,297.11	$2,268.49	$185.09	$7,028.62	$6,843.53
FY2005	arthx	$12,100.00	$9,493.90	$512.49	$2,606.10	$2,093.61
FY2006	arthx	$8,500.00	$7,394.84	$348.40	$1,105.16	$756.76
FY2007	arthx	$10,000.00	$7,394.36	$87.00	$2,605.64	$2,518.64
	arthx Total		$49,386.99			
FY2001	aulhx	$29,418.94	$0.00	$0.00	$29,418.94	$29,418.94
FY2002	aulhx	$56,218.94	$0.00	$0.00	$56,218.94	$56,218.94
FY2003	aulhx	$27,750.00	$1,838.00	$0.00	$25,912.00	$25,912.00
FY2004	aulhx	$25,912.00	$7,517.50	$0.00	$18,394.50	$18,394.50
	aulhx Total		$9,355.50			
FY1998	bancx	$3,319.00	$3,286.11	$0.00	$32.89	$32.89
FY1999	bancx	$5,543.89	$29.57	$78.97	$5,514.32	$5,435.35
FY2000	bancx	$5,514.32	$5,051.54	$30.01	$462.78	$432.77
FY2001	bancx	$2,462.78	$0.00	$993.51	$2,462.78	$1,469.27

FY2002	bancx	$4,762.78	$2,160.57	$205.01	$2,602.21	$2,397.20
FY2003	bancx	$2,602.21	$2,464.52	$0.00	$137.69	$137.69
FY2004	bancx	$637.69	$353.11	$0.00	$284.58	$284.58
FY2005	bancx	$5,284.58	$589.31	$21.60	$4,695.27	$4,673.67
FY2006	bancx	$4,790.27	$3,370.26	$0.00	$1,420.01	$1,420.01
FY2007	bancx	$1,420.01	$1,288.34	$135.01	$131.67	($3.34)
	bancx Total		$18,593.33			
FY1998	bilrb	$5,140.63	$17,091.23	$7,249.25	($11,950.60)	($19,199.85)
FY1999	bilrb	$33,669.40	$19,617.35	$13,661.28	$14,052.05	$390.77
FY2000	bilrb	$29,052.05	$16,092.22	$9,574.66	$12,959.83	$3,385.17
FY2001	bilrb	$32,959.83	$17,949.66	$8,408.74	$15,010.17	$6,601.43
FY2002	bilrb	$65,010.17	$25,363.11	$6,669.74	$39,647.06	$32,977.32
FY2003	bilrb	$40,000.00	$31,527.91	$7,140.89	$8,472.09	$1,331.20
FY2004	bilrb	$30,000.00	$17,487.15	$10,244.83	$12,512.85	$2,268.02
FY2005	bilrb	$50,000.00	$31,049.54	$13,202.08	$18,950.46	$5,748.38
FY2006	bilrb	$30,000.00	$20,139.27	$8,947.56	$9,860.73	$913.17
FY2007	bilrb	$25,000.00	$16,531.30	$10,417.71	$8,468.70	($1,949.01)
	bilrb Total		$212,848.74			
FY1998	biolx	$16,876.00	$7,430.13	$1,063.58	$9,445.87	$8,382.29
FY1999	biolx	$20,360.87	$1,558.09	$1,397.65	$18,802.78	$17,405.13
FY2000	biolx	$18,802.78	$2,123.09	$2,393.64	$16,679.69	$14,286.05
FY2001	biolx	$9,679.69	$2,344.80	$2,130.06	$7,334.89	$5,204.83
FY2002	biolx	$7,734.89	$1,630.19	$1,639.97	$6,104.70	$4,464.73
FY2003	biolx	$6,104.70	$2,189.64	$2,105.05	$3,915.06	$1,810.01
FY2004	biolx	$3,915.06	$1,992.71	$933.01	$1,922.35	$989.34
FY2005	biolx	$6,000.00	$3,283.61	$905.01	$2,716.39	$1,811.38
FY2006	biolx	$2,500.00	$709.45	$498.01	$1,790.55	$1,292.54
FY2007	biolx	$2,000.00	$814.06	$672.03	$1,185.94	$513.91
	biolx Total		$24,075.77			
FY1998	busix	$8,392.00	$1,060.17	$1,113.71	$7,331.83	$6,218.12
FY1999	busix	$12,662.83	$1,850.75	$1,159.97	$10,812.08	$9,652.11
FY2000	busix	$10,812.08	$2,716.78	$1,793.73	$8,095.30	$6,301.57
FY2001	busix	$6,095.30	$2,270.77	$820.86	$3,824.53	$3,003.67
FY2002	busix	$8,624.53	$2,923.51	$362.48	$5,701.02	$5,338.54
FY2003	busix	$5,701.02	$1,273.03	$345.00	$4,427.99	$4,082.99
FY2004	busix	$4,427.99	$1,827.74	$2,528.08	$2,600.25	$72.17
FY2005	busix	$5,400.00	$3,512.97	$332.00	$1,887.03	$1,555.03
FY2006	busix	$2,500.00	$1,043.36	$861.00	$1,456.64	$595.64
FY2007	busix	$5,000.00	$3,598.57	$1,083.00	$1,401.43	$318.43
	busix Total		$22,077.65			
FY1998	chemx	$11,637.00	$475.00	$300.01	$11,162.00	$10,861.99
FY1999	chemx	$18,058.00	$1,516.91	$1,659.96	$16,541.09	$14,881.13
FY2000	chemx	$16,541.09	$3,251.32	$281.01	$13,289.77	$13,008.76
FY2001	chemx	$6,289.77	$1,456.15	$79.00	$4,833.62	$4,754.62
FY2002	chemx	$4,833.62	$477.03	$368.28	$4,356.59	$3,988.31
FY2003	chemx	$4,356.59	$656.59	$53.00	$3,700.00	$3,647.00
FY2004	chemx	$3,700.00	$633.84	$0.00	$3,066.16	$3,066.16
FY2005	chemx	$3,100.00	$288.09	$0.00	$2,811.91	$2,811.91
FY2006	chemx	$800.00	$0.00	$162.01	$800.00	$637.99
FY2007	chemx	$1,000.00	$253.12	$162.01	$746.88	$584.87

	chemx Total		$9,008.05			
FY1998	clasx	$817.00	$63.36	$0.00	$753.64	$753.64
FY1999	clasx	$1,315.64	$131.52	$0.00	$1,184.12	$1,184.12
FY2000	clasx	$1,184.12	$90.66	$429.61	$1,093.46	$663.85
FY2001	clasx	$1,093.46	$725.90	$275.04	$367.56	$92.52
FY2002	clasx	$1,567.56	$410.04	$20.00	$1,157.52	$1,137.52
FY2003	clasx	$1,457.52	$795.08	$21.50	$662.44	$640.94
FY2004	clasx	$1,662.44	$722.92	$605.74	$939.52	$333.78
FY2005	clasx	$2,400.00	$1,923.96	$55.00	$476.04	$421.04
FY2006	clasx	$3,500.00	$2,188.86	$346.00	$1,311.14	$965.14
FY2007	clasx	$1,000.00	$1,055.84	$40.00	($55.84)	($95.84)
	clasx Total		$8,108.14			
FY1998	docsx	$4,323.00	$226.50	$0.00	$4,096.50	$4,096.50
FY1999	docsx	$7,116.50	$0.00	$10.00	$7,116.50	$7,106.50
FY2000	docsx	$7,116.50	$242.70	$264.04	$6,873.80	$6,609.76
FY2001	docsx	$5,873.80	$2,337.42	$892.05	$3,536.38	$2,644.33
FY2002	docsx	$14,536.38	$1,985.58	$4,132.00	$12,550.80	$8,418.80
FY2003	docsx	$12,550.80	$27.06	$4,132.00	$12,523.74	$8,391.74
FY2004	docsx	$12,523.74	$284.56	$12.00	$12,239.18	$12,227.18
FY2005	docsx	$12,300.00	$49.73	$315.02	$12,250.27	$11,935.25
FY2006	docsx	$7,000.00	$306.46	$3,975.61	$6,693.54	$2,717.93
FY2007	docsx	$5,000.00	$4,207.32	$145.01	$792.68	$647.67
	docsx Total		$9,667.33			
FY1998	ealox	$8,208.00	$0.00	$0.00	$8,208.00	$8,208.00
FY2000	ealox	$12,704.07	$42.69	$126.25	$12,661.38	$12,535.13
FY2001	ealox	$1,161.38	$142.79	$32.67	$1,018.59	$985.92
FY2002	ealox	$1,018.59	$22.25	$32.67	$996.34	$963.67
FY2003	ealox	$996.34	$0.00	$0.00	$996.34	$996.34
FY2004	ealox	$996.34	$0.00	$0.00	$996.34	$996.34
FY2005	ealox	$1,000.00	$87.25	$28.00	$912.75	$884.75
FY2006	ealox	$500.00	$52.00	$35.00	$448.00	$413.00
FY2007	ealox	$500.00	$41.35	$727.69	$458.65	($269.04)
	ealox Total		$388.33			
FY1999	eaolx	$12,795.00	$90.93	$0.00	$12,704.07	$12,704.07
	eaolx Total		$90.93			
FY1998	eartx	$3,982.00	$284.87	$43.50	$3,697.13	$3,653.63
FY1999	eartx	$6,295.13	$1,210.18	$1,136.52	$5,084.95	$3,948.43
FY2000	eartx	$5,084.95	$777.33	$871.06	$4,307.62	$3,436.56
FY2001	eartx	$4,307.62	$763.51	$1,157.05	$3,544.11	$2,387.06
FY2002	eartx	$4,244.11	$1,242.28	$508.41	$3,001.83	$2,493.42
FY2003	eartx	$3,001.83	$1,758.06	$561.36	$1,243.77	$682.41
FY2004	eartx	$1,743.77	$340.51	$45.96	$1,403.26	$1,357.30
FY2005	eartx	$1,500.00	$141.09	$220.92	$1,358.91	$1,137.99
FY2006	eartx	$1,500.00	$106.44	$170.91	$1,393.56	$1,222.65
FY2007	eartx	$500.00	$238.13	$450.92	$261.87	($189.05)
	eartx Total		$6,862.40			
FY2006	econx	$1,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,000.00	$1,000.00
FY2007	econx	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	econx Total		$0.00			
FY1998	edpsx	$4,073.00	$796.18	$108.50	$3,276.82	$3,168.32

FY1999	edpsx	$6,022.82	$613.74	$246.53	$5,409.08	$5,162.55
FY2000	edpsx	$5,409.08	$447.97	$237.02	$4,961.11	$4,724.09
FY2001	edpsx	$4,461.11	$2,616.14	$820.01	$1,844.97	$1,024.96
FY2002	edpsx	$6,544.97	$2,095.21	$295.01	$4,449.76	$4,154.75
FY2003	edpsx	$4,449.76	$1,199.95	$265.01	$3,249.81	$2,984.80
FY2004	edpsx	$3,249.81	$1,210.41	$482.07	$2,039.40	$1,557.33
FY2005	edpsx	$2,100.00	$196.26	$265.00	$1,903.74	$1,638.74
FY2006	edpsx	$1,500.00	$136.32	$897.00	$1,363.68	$466.68
FY2007	edpsx	$1,500.00	$1,471.34	$110.00	$28.66	($81.34)
	edpsx Total		$10,783.52			
FY1998	engix	$16,203.00	$5,997.93	$1,460.77	$10,205.07	$8,744.30
FY1999	engix	$20,856.07	$1,231.63	$2,271.83	$19,624.44	$17,352.61
FY2000	engix	$19,624.44	$3,246.03	$2,220.52	$16,378.41	$14,157.89
FY2001	engix	$8,878.41	$2,350.10	$1,184.84	$6,528.31	$5,343.47
FY2002	engix	$12,428.31	$3,966.61	$1,545.19	$8,461.70	$6,916.51
FY2003	engix	$8,461.70	$3,093.71	$1,848.36	$5,367.99	$3,519.63
FY2004	engix	$5,367.99	$2,432.92	$1,262.01	$2,935.07	$1,673.06
FY2005	engix	$3,700.00	$2,827.75	$220.00	$872.25	$652.25
FY2006	engix	$2,500.00	$1,194.92	$175.00	$1,305.08	$1,130.08
FY2007	engix	$2,000.00	$1,874.11	$952.01	$125.89	($826.12)
	engix Total		$28,215.71			
FY1998	envix	$2,547.00	$16.64	$0.00	$2,530.36	$2,530.36
FY1999	envix	$4,108.36	$592.32	$437.05	$3,516.04	$3,078.99
FY2000	envix	$3,516.04	$1,030.10	$367.04	$2,485.94	$2,118.90
FY2001	envix	$3,235.94	$1,396.65	$1,047.54	$1,839.29	$791.75
FY2002	envix	$6,439.29	$2,083.73	$748.96	$4,355.56	$3,606.60
FY2003	envix	$5,355.56	$2,144.66	$2,216.38	$3,210.90	$994.52
FY2004	envix	$3,710.90	$2,642.87	$995.41	$1,068.03	$72.62
FY2005	envix	$3,700.00	$1,878.53	$496.80	$1,821.47	$1,324.67
FY2006	envix	$3,500.00	$1,505.95	$1,021.10	$1,994.05	$972.95
FY2007	envix	$2,000.00	$1,468.75	$580.07	$531.25	($48.82)
	envix Total		$14,760.20			
FY2004	filmx	$500.00	$129.90	$0.00	$370.10	$370.10
FY2005	filmx	$6,200.00	$348.57	$468.00	$5,851.43	$5,383.43
FY2006	filmx	$1,500.00	$594.10	$58.00	$905.90	$847.90
FY2007	filmx	$500.00	$889.33	$0.00	($389.33)	($389.33)
	filmx Total		$1,961.90			
FY1998	forex	$4,363.00	$601.87	$0.00	$3,761.13	$3,761.13
FY1999	forex	$6,562.13	$571.40	$0.00	$5,990.73	$5,990.73
FY2000	forex	$5,990.73	$346.91	$122.91	$5,643.82	$5,520.91
FY2001	forex	$1,643.82	$450.76	$94.00	$1,193.06	$1,099.06
FY2002	forex	$1,193.06	$32.24	$505.95	$1,160.82	$654.87
FY2003	forex	$1,560.82	$867.92	$269.00	$692.90	$423.90
FY2004	forex	$892.90	$338.63	$109.50	$554.27	$444.77
FY2005	forex	$2,100.00	$881.63	$147.00	$1,218.37	$1,071.37
FY2006	forex	$1,000.00	$142.26	$47.00	$857.74	$810.74
FY2007	forex	$500.00	$113.25	$22.00	$386.75	$364.75
	forex Total		$4,346.87			
FY1998	frenx	$3,649.00	$55.02	$980.00	$3,593.98	$2,613.98
FY1999	frenx	$6,130.98	$1,998.03	$322.47	$4,132.95	$3,810.48

FY2000	frenx	$4,132.95	$2,658.37	$782.07	$1,474.58	$692.51
FY2001	frenx	$4,874.58	$1,368.65	$491.23	$3,505.93	$3,014.70
FY2002	frenx	$3,505.93	$345.54	$347.15	$3,160.39	$2,813.24
FY2003	frenx	$4,660.39	$1,086.65	$2,690.95	$3,573.74	$882.79
FY2004	frenx	$4,073.74	$975.17	$2,763.94	$3,098.57	$334.63
FY2005	frenx	$4,800.00	$482.82	$2,897.95	$4,317.18	$1,419.23
FY2006	frenx	$5,500.00	$1,632.05	$190.01	$3,867.95	$3,677.94
FY2007	frenx	$2,000.00	$1,651.41	$108.01	$348.59	$240.58
	frenx Total		$12,253.71			
FY1998	germx	$6,346.00	$552.00	$671.00	$5,794.00	$5,123.00
FY1999	germx	$9,804.00	$4,963.07	$1,230.05	$4,840.93	$3,610.88
FY2000	germx	$4,840.93	$2,032.89	$903.30	$2,808.04	$1,904.74
FY2001	germx	$5,808.04	$1,605.20	$368.79	$4,202.84	$3,834.05
FY2002	germx	$4,302.84	$1,205.70	$524.30	$3,097.14	$2,572.84
FY2003	germx	$12,316.08	$10,843.81	$824.20	$1,472.27	$648.07
FY2004	germx	$3,472.27	$1,630.38	$697.01	$1,841.89	$1,144.88
FY2005	germx	$3,900.00	$2,056.85	$235.00	$1,843.15	$1,608.15
FY2006	germx	$25,000.00	$22,183.03	$105.00	$2,816.97	$2,711.97
FY2007	germx	$20,000.00	$19,190.73	$85.00	$809.27	$724.27
	germx Total		$66,263.66			
FY1998	gradx	$17.00	$0.00	$0.00	$17.00	$17.00
	gradx Total		$0.00			
FY1998	gssix	$3,012.00	$1,494.39	$220.85	$1,517.61	$1,296.76
FY1999	gssix	$3,688.61	$965.78	$466.02	$2,722.83	$2,256.81
FY2000	gssix	$2,722.83	$185.81	$125.01	$2,537.02	$2,412.01
FY2001	gssix	$2,537.02	$779.90	$104.95	$1,757.12	$1,652.17
FY2002	gssix	$7,257.12	$3,109.63	$307.86	$4,147.49	$3,839.63
FY2003	gssix	$4,147.49	$2,310.39	$519.00	$1,837.10	$1,318.10
FY2004	gssix	$2,266.96	$2,266.96	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
	gssix Total		$11,112.86			
FY1999	hasx	$1,503.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,503.00	$1,503.00
FY2000	hasx	$1,503.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,503.00	$1,503.00
	hasx Total		$0.00			
FY1998	histx	$4,164.00	$1,287.78	$127.50	$2,876.22	$2,748.72
FY1999	histx	$4,978.22	$1,420.11	$610.88	$3,558.11	$2,947.23
FY2000	histx	$3,558.11	$776.55	$356.01	$2,781.56	$2,425.55
FY2001	histx	$3,581.56	$1,193.57	$296.02	$2,387.99	$2,091.97
FY2002	histx	$5,587.99	$3,496.15	$1,319.17	$2,091.84	$772.67
FY2003	histx	$5,091.84	$3,409.46	$780.06	$1,682.38	$902.32
FY2004	histx	$4,682.38	$2,901.39	$1,177.97	$1,780.99	$603.02
FY2005	histx	$6,100.00	$4,168.70	$1,416.52	$1,931.30	$514.78
FY2006	histx	$7,500.00	$3,055.49	$1,616.03	$4,444.51	$2,828.48
FY2007	histx	$3,000.00	$2,213.22	$1,285.22	$786.78	($498.44)
	histx Total		$23,922.42			
FY1998	hpstx	$1,303.00	$24.81	$40.01	$1,278.19	$1,238.18
FY1999	hpstx	$2,402.19	$231.03	$145.00	$2,171.16	$2,026.16
FY2000	hpstx	$2,171.16	$225.47	$70.00	$1,945.69	$1,875.69
	hpstx Total		$481.31			
FY1999	humax	$182.00	$0.00	$0.00	$182.00	$182.00
FY2000	humax	$182.00	$0.00	$92.01	$182.00	$89.99

FY2001	humax	$382.00	$16.52	$0.00	$365.48	$365.48
FY2002	humax	$365.48	$188.77	$19.95	$176.71	$156.76
FY2003	humax	$176.71	$22.14	$0.00	$154.57	$154.57
FY2004	humax	$654.57	$19.44	$55.00	$635.13	$580.13
FY2005	humax	$700.00	$167.97	$0.00	$532.03	$532.03
FY2006	humax	$400.00	$68.83	$0.00	$331.17	$331.17
FY2007	humax	$1,000.00	$582.15	$35.95	$417.85	$381.90
	humax Total		$1,065.82			
FY2001	iberx	$826.42	$95.77	$354.04	$730.65	$376.61
FY2002	iberx	$730.65	$255.54	$88.16	$475.11	$386.95
FY2003	iberx	$675.11	$251.63	$75.00	$423.48	$348.48
FY2004	iberx	$423.48	$158.93	$40.00	$264.55	$224.55
	iberx Total		$761.87			
FY2001	islax	$575.00	$0.00	$0.00	$575.00	$575.00
FY2002	islax	$575.00	$20.24	$95.00	$554.76	$459.76
FY2003	islax	$1,054.76	$580.96	$102.00	$473.80	$371.80
FY2004	islax	$473.80	$44.27	$60.00	$429.53	$369.53
FY2005	islax	$500.00	$405.32	$60.00	$94.68	$34.68
FY2006	islax	$500.00	$263.39	$100.00	$236.61	$136.61
FY2007	islax	$1,000.00	$422.69	$210.00	$577.31	$367.31
	islax Total		$1,736.87			
FY1998	judax	$438.00	$146.93	$135.05	$291.07	$156.02
FY1999	judax	$625.07	$199.80	$60.02	$425.27	$365.25
FY2000	judax	$425.27	$55.06	$40.01	$370.21	$330.20
FY2001	judax	$370.21	$10.65	$25.01	$359.56	$334.55
FY2002	judax	$459.56	$77.10	$185.00	$382.46	$197.46
FY2003	judax	$682.46	$204.39	$165.00	$478.07	$313.07
FY2004	judax	$678.07	$309.30	$35.00	$368.77	$333.77
FY2005	judax	$400.00	$162.66	$100.00	$237.34	$137.34
FY2006	judax	$400.00	$135.94	$0.00	$264.06	$264.06
FY2007	judax	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	judax Total		$1,301.83			
FY1998	laamx	$1,529.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,529.00	$1,529.00
FY1999	laamx	$2,842.00	$0.00	$120.04	$2,842.00	$2,721.96
FY2000	laamx	$2,842.00	$8.47	$100.03	$2,833.53	$2,733.50
FY2001	laamx	$333.53	$19.09	$55.02	$314.44	$259.42
FY2002	laamx	$314.44	$0.00	$30.01	$314.44	$284.43
FY2003	laamx	$314.44	$0.00	$0.00	$314.44	$314.44
FY2004	laamx	$314.44	$0.00	$0.00	$314.44	$314.44
FY2005	laamx	$400.00	$0.00	$0.00	$400.00	$400.00
FY2006	laamx	$200.00	$0.00	$0.00	$200.00	$200.00
FY2007	laamx	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	laamx Total		$27.56			
FY1999	lcafx	$90.00	$0.00	$0.00	$90.00	$90.00
FY2000	lcafx	$90.00	$0.00	$0.00	$90.00	$90.00
	lcafx Total		$0.00			
FY1999	lcblx	$39.00	$0.00	$0.00	$39.00	$39.00
FY2000	lcblx	$39.00	$0.00	$0.00	$39.00	$39.00
	lcblx Total		$0.00			
FY1999	lcmex	$185.00	$0.00	$0.00	$185.00	$185.00

FY2000	lcmex	$185.00	$0.00	$0.00	$185.00	$185.00
FY2001	lcmex	$34.90	$34.90	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
	lcmex Total		$34.90			
FY1999	lcpkx	$73.00	$0.00	$0.00	$73.00	$73.00
FY2000	lcpkx	$73.00	$0.00	$0.00	$73.00	$73.00
	lcpkx Total		$0.00			
FY1999	lcsex	$436.00	$0.00	$0.00	$436.00	$436.00
FY2000	lcsex	$436.00	$0.00	$0.00	$436.00	$436.00
	lcsex Total		$0.00			
FY1999	lcsox	$690.00	$0.00	$0.00	$690.00	$690.00
FY2000	lcsox	$690.00	$0.00	$0.00	$690.00	$690.00
	lcsox Total		$0.00			
FY2002	lingx	$500.00	$60.84	$0.00	$439.16	$439.16
FY2003	lingx	$1,589.16	$1,002.86	$100.00	$586.30	$486.30
FY2004	lingx	$2,386.30	$750.47	$1,437.00	$1,635.83	$198.83
FY2005	lingx	$3,200.00	$1,643.85	$519.00	$1,556.15	$1,037.15
FY2006	lingx	$2,000.00	$782.99	$261.02	$1,217.01	$955.99
FY2007	lingx	$500.00	$224.30	$133.01	$275.70	$142.69
	lingx Total		$4,465.31			
FY1998	litrx	$1,300.00	$0.00	$153.81	$1,300.00	$1,146.19
FY1999	litrx	$9,880.00	$1,975.11	$1,959.81	$7,904.89	$5,945.08
FY2000	litrx	$7,904.89	$1,674.07	$633.07	$6,230.82	$5,597.75
FY2001	litrx	$8,230.82	$3,727.65	$1,017.46	$4,503.17	$3,485.71
FY2002	litrx	$11,903.17	$4,320.88	$901.24	$7,582.29	$6,681.05
FY2003	litrx	$7,582.29	$4,156.96	$900.05	$3,425.33	$2,525.28
FY2004	litrx	$5,925.33	$4,028.48	$1,153.01	$1,896.85	$743.84
FY2005	litrx	$6,200.00	$2,975.28	$3,855.15	$3,224.72	($630.43)
FY2006	litrx	$7,000.00	$3,653.28	$1,494.22	$3,346.72	$1,852.50
FY2007	litrx	$3,380.00	$2,513.24	$1,875.48	$866.76	($1,008.72)
	litrx Total		$29,024.95			
FY1998	massx	$146.00	$61.19	$13.95	$84.81	$70.86
FY1999	massx	$227.81	$20.36	$0.00	$207.45	$207.45
FY2000	massx	$207.45	$123.46	$55.00	$83.99	$28.99
FY2001	massx	$383.99	$0.00	$0.00	$383.99	$383.99
FY2002	massx	$383.99	$0.00	$0.00	$383.99	$383.99
FY2003	massx	$383.99	$0.00	$0.00	$383.99	$383.99
FY2004	massx	$383.99	$0.00	$0.00	$383.99	$383.99
	massx Total		$205.01			
FY1998	mathx	$5,928.00	$2,476.15	$1,719.05	$3,451.85	$1,732.80
FY1999	mathx	$7,133.85	$2,186.22	$1,046.70	$4,947.63	$3,900.93
FY2000	mathx	$4,947.63	$792.95	$1,350.07	$4,154.68	$2,804.61
FY2001	mathx	$6,154.68	$1,299.19	$1,049.52	$4,855.49	$3,805.97
FY2002	mathx	$4,855.49	$1,092.69	$1,374.87	$3,762.80	$2,387.93
FY2003	mathx	$3,762.80	$2,363.09	$275.45	$1,399.71	$1,124.26
FY2004	mathx	$2,899.71	$1,094.94	$911.00	$1,804.77	$893.77
FY2005	mathx	$3,600.00	$2,245.24	$719.01	$1,354.76	$635.75
FY2006	mathx	$2,500.00	$875.86	$235.01	$1,624.14	$1,389.13
FY2007	mathx	$1,000.00	$1,188.43	$851.02	($188.43)	($1,039.45)
	mathx Total		$15,614.76			
FY1998	mrctx	$1,205.00	$1,552.75	$0.00	($347.75)	($347.75)

FY1999	mrctx	$592.25	$0.00	$0.00	$592.25	$592.25
FY2000	mrctx	$592.25	$738.67	$20.00	($146.42)	($166.42)
FY2001	mrctx	$303.58	$82.60	$0.00	$220.98	$220.98
FY2002	mrctx	$420.98	$182.94	$62.96	$238.04	$175.08
FY2003	mrctx	$238.04	$25.62	$62.96	$212.42	$149.46
FY2004	mrctx	$412.42	$0.00	$0.00	$412.42	$412.42
	mrctx Total		$2,582.58			
FY1998	musix	$2,877.00	$1,769.41	$837.73	$1,107.59	$269.86
FY1999	musix	$12,833.59	$1,016.72	$92.00	$11,816.87	$11,724.87
FY2000	musix	$11,816.87	$1,831.84	$2,922.28	$9,985.03	$7,062.75
FY2001	musix	$7,985.03	$4,048.28	$1,078.59	$3,936.75	$2,858.16
FY2002	musix	$6,436.75	$748.93	$598.58	$5,687.82	$5,089.24
FY2003	musix	$5,687.82	$299.41	$414.05	$5,388.41	$4,974.36
FY2004	musix	$5,388.41	$1,651.08	$1,812.04	$3,737.33	$1,925.29
FY2005	musix	$3,800.00	$873.96	$1,480.30	$2,926.04	$1,445.74
FY2006	musix	$3,500.00	$816.14	$1,480.03	$2,683.86	$1,203.83
FY2007	musix	$3,000.00	$299.48	$1,495.01	$2,700.52	$1,205.51
	musix Total		$13,355.25			
FY1998	newsx	$1,849.00	$534.00	$0.00	$1,315.00	$1,315.00
FY1999	newsx	$2,359.00	$0.00	$0.00	$2,359.00	$2,359.00
FY2000	newsx	$2,359.00	$0.00	$0.00	$2,359.00	$2,359.00
FY2001	newsx	$359.00	$0.00	$0.00	$359.00	$359.00
FY2002	newsx	$359.00	$0.00	$0.00	$359.00	$359.00
FY2003	newsx	$359.00	$0.00	$0.00	$359.00	$359.00
FY2004	newsx	$359.00	$474.52	$0.00	($115.52)	($115.52)
	newsx Total		$1,008.52			
FY1998	optox	$783.00	$169.38	$0.00	$613.62	$613.62
FY1999	optox	$1,132.62	$0.00	$0.00	$1,132.62	$1,132.62
FY2000	optox	$1,132.62	$87.52	$92.01	$1,045.10	$953.09
FY2001	optox	$745.10	$0.00	$92.01	$745.10	$653.09
FY2002	optox	$745.10	$0.00	$0.00	$745.10	$745.10
FY2003	optox	$745.10	$172.37	$78.00	$572.73	$494.73
FY2004	optox	$572.73	$154.53	$0.00	$418.20	$418.20
FY2005	optox	$500.00	$439.08	$0.00	$60.92	$60.92
FY2006	optox	$200.00	$0.00	$0.00	$200.00	$200.00
FY2007	optox	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	optox Total		$1,022.88			
FY1998	philx	$2,085.00	$379.33	$319.01	$1,705.67	$1,386.66
FY1999	philx	$2,529.67	$687.90	$978.87	$1,841.77	$862.90
FY2000	philx	$1,841.77	$1,194.28	$1,449.68	$647.49	($802.19)
FY2001	philx	$3,647.49	$1,929.92	$621.12	$1,717.57	$1,096.45
FY2002	philx	$3,617.57	$1,730.95	$14.00	$1,886.62	$1,872.62
FY2003	philx	$1,886.62	$196.71	$76.01	$1,689.91	$1,613.90
FY2004	philx	$2,689.91	$2,236.94	$100.00	$452.97	$352.97
FY2005	philx	$2,400.00	$715.67	$2,163.91	$1,684.33	($479.58)
FY2006	philx	$4,000.00	$1,468.95	$754.71	$2,531.05	$1,776.34
FY2007	philx	$2,000.00	$1,311.10	$947.66	$688.90	($258.76)
	philx Total		$11,851.75			
FY1998	physx	$6,348.00	$1,897.21	$990.16	$4,450.79	$3,460.63
FY1999	physx	$8,723.79	$2,432.96	$734.39	$6,290.83	$5,556.44

FY2000	physx	$6,290.83	$676.90	$1,028.01	$5,613.93	$4,585.92
FY2001	physx	$4,613.93	$924.46	$732.04	$3,689.47	$2,957.43
FY2002	physx	$3,689.47	$781.16	$763.90	$2,908.31	$2,144.41
FY2003	physx	$2,908.31	$995.05	$818.95	$1,913.26	$1,094.31
FY2004	physx	$1,913.26	$570.13	$728.95	$1,343.13	$614.18
FY2005	physx	$1,400.00	$401.10	$83.95	$998.90	$914.95
FY2006	physx	$1,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,000.00	$1,000.00
FY2007	physx	$1,000.00	$860.80	$0.00	$139.20	$139.20
	physx Total		$9,539.77			
FY2004	polix	$2,070.14	$517.72	$922.00	$1,552.42	$630.42
FY2005	polix	$4,800.00	$2,980.77	$1,370.01	$1,819.23	$449.22
FY2006	polix	$5,000.00	$2,186.58	$250.99	$2,813.42	$2,562.43
FY2007	polix	$1,000.00	$185.80	$192.97	$814.20	$621.23
	polix Total		$5,870.87			
FY1998	publx	$2,368.00	$707.87	$579.59	$1,660.13	$1,080.54
FY1999	publx	$3,251.13	$890.66	$847.93	$2,360.47	$1,512.54
FY2000	publx	$2,360.47	$1,493.19	$163.34	$867.28	$703.94
FY2001	publx	$1,867.28	$140.89	$274.38	$1,726.39	$1,452.01
FY2002	publx	$1,726.39	$410.20	$654.99	$1,316.19	$661.20
FY2003	publx	$2,016.19	$1,003.66	$588.02	$1,012.53	$424.51
FY2004	publx	$2,012.53	$913.88	$495.43	$1,098.65	$603.22
FY2005	publx	$2,000.00	$988.44	$20.01	$1,011.56	$991.55
FY2006	publx	$500.00	$371.32	$20.01	$128.68	$108.67
FY2007	publx	$500.00	$95.48	$46.96	$404.52	$357.56
	publx Total		$7,015.59			
FY1998	refex	$4,565.00	$171.42	$303.50	$4,393.58	$4,090.08
FY1999	refex	$7,332.58	$348.25	$672.01	$6,984.33	$6,312.32
FY2000	refex	$6,984.33	$898.23	$361.02	$6,086.10	$5,725.08
FY2001	refex	$2,586.10	$63.18	$112.01	$2,522.92	$2,410.91
FY2002	refex	$2,522.92	$304.13	$0.00	$2,218.79	$2,218.79
FY2003	refex	$2,218.79	$234.08	$0.00	$1,984.71	$1,984.71
FY2004	refex	$1,984.71	$185.79	$0.00	$1,798.92	$1,798.92
FY2005	refex	$1,800.00	$140.24	$0.00	$1,659.76	$1,659.76
FY2006	refex	$500.00	$61.43	$0.00	$438.57	$438.57
FY2007	refex	$2,000.00	$683.48	$0.00	$1,316.52	$1,316.52
	refex Total		$3,090.23			
FY1998	scigx	$206.00	$0.00	$0.00	$206.00	$206.00
FY1999	scigx	$397.00	$0.00	$0.00	$397.00	$397.00
FY2000	scigx	$397.00	$0.00	$0.00	$397.00	$397.00
FY2001	scigx	$97.00	$0.00	$0.00	$97.00	$97.00
FY2002	scigx	$97.00	$0.00	$0.00	$97.00	$97.00
FY2003	scigx	$97.00	$0.00	$0.00	$97.00	$97.00
FY2004	scigx	$97.00	$0.00	$0.00	$97.00	$97.00
	scigx Total		$0.00			
FY1998	seasx	$928.00	$224.11	$280.19	$703.89	$423.70
FY1999	seasx	$1,224.89	$136.15	$135.06	$1,088.74	$953.68
FY2000	seasx	$1,088.74	$0.00	$135.06	$1,088.74	$953.68
FY2001	seasx	$488.74	$0.00	$185.07	$488.74	$303.67
FY2002	seasx	$488.74	$93.93	$49.96	$394.81	$344.85
FY2003	seasx	$394.81	$13.43	$30.01	$381.38	$351.37

FY2004	seasx	$881.38	$351.43	$30.01	$529.95	$499.94
FY2005	seasx	$600.00	$0.00	$40.02	$600.00	$559.98
FY2006	seasx	$200.00	$50.03	$10.01	$149.97	$139.96
FY2007	seasx	$500.00	$55.34	$110.02	$444.66	$334.64
	seasx Total		$924.42			
FY1998	simsx	$1,717.00	$350.76	$75.01	$1,366.24	$1,291.23
FY1999	simsx	$2,663.24	$697.39	$1,211.52	$1,965.85	$754.33
FY2000	simsx	$1,965.85	$541.28	$295.86	$1,424.57	$1,128.71
FY2001	simsx	$3,424.57	$1,819.79	$130.00	$1,604.78	$1,474.78
FY2002	simsx	$2,304.78	$356.46	$0.00	$1,948.32	$1,948.32
FY2003	simsx	$1,948.32	$58.54	$0.00	$1,889.78	$1,889.78
FY2004	simsx	$1,889.78	$0.00	$29.95	$1,889.78	$1,859.83
FY2005	simsx	$2,000.00	$29.36	$0.00	$1,970.64	$1,970.64
FY2006	simsx	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
FY2007	simsx	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	simsx Total		$3,853.58			
FY1998	slavx	$2,037.00	$319.25	$132.00	$1,717.75	$1,585.75
FY1999	slavx	$2,913.75	$447.95	$200.96	$2,465.80	$2,264.84
FY2000	slavx	$2,465.80	$87.92	$0.00	$2,377.88	$2,377.88
FY2001	slavx	$977.88	$122.43	$0.00	$855.45	$855.45
FY2002	slavx	$855.45	$165.05	$206.50	$690.40	$483.90
FY2003	slavx	$890.40	$186.09	$32.00	$704.31	$672.31
FY2004	slavx	$704.31	$30.43	$60.00	$673.88	$613.88
FY2005	slavx	$700.00	$137.88	$0.00	$562.12	$562.12
FY2006	slavx	$300.00	$0.00	$0.00	$300.00	$300.00
FY2007	slavx	$500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$500.00	$500.00
	slavx Total		$1,497.00			
FY1998	soasx	$482.00	$0.00	$36.31	$482.00	$445.69
FY1999	soasx	$802.00	$30.27	$0.00	$771.73	$771.73
FY2000	soasx	$771.73	$0.00	$0.00	$771.73	$771.73
FY2001	soasx	$434.73	$0.00	$37.50	$434.73	$397.23
FY2002	soasx	$434.73	$40.91	$0.00	$393.82	$393.82
FY2003	soasx	$393.82	$0.00	$0.00	$393.82	$393.82
FY2004	soasx	$393.82	$0.00	$0.00	$393.82	$393.82
FY2005	soasx	$400.00	$0.00	$45.00	$400.00	$355.00
FY2006	soasx	$200.00	$31.08	$0.00	$168.92	$168.92
FY2007	soasx	$500.00	$205.61	$260.05	$294.39	$34.34
	soasx Total		$307.87			
FY1998	socwx	$429.00	$196.41	$48.01	$232.59	$184.58
FY1999	socwx	$548.59	$90.93	$27.00	$457.66	$430.66
FY2000	socwx	$457.66	$250.71	$45.01	$206.95	$161.94
FY2001	socwx	$506.95	$66.05	$118.97	$440.90	$321.93
FY2002	socwx	$440.90	$137.19	$0.00	$303.71	$303.71
FY2003	socwx	$303.71	$39.25	$0.00	$264.46	$264.46
FY2004	socwx	$664.46	$198.53	$45.00	$465.93	$420.93
FY2005	socwx	$500.00	$234.34	$0.00	$265.66	$265.66
FY2006	socwx	$500.00	$241.78	$0.00	$258.22	$258.22
FY2007	socwx	$500.00	$145.94	$0.00	$354.06	$354.06
	socwx Total		$1,601.13			
FY1998	sppox	$770.00	$0.00	$45.02	$770.00	$724.98

FY1999	sppox	$1,343.00	$510.01	$269.04	$832.99	$563.95
FY2000	sppox	$832.99	$206.57	$220.03	$626.42	$406.39
FY2005	sppox	$300.00	$138.08	$45.01	$161.92	$116.91
FY2006	sppox	$400.00	$0.00	$55.01	$400.00	$344.99
FY2007	sppox	$500.00	$62.57	$0.00	$437.43	$437.43
	sppox Total		$917.23			
FY1998	sprmb	$0.00	$1,526.01	$360.50	($1,526.01)	($1,886.51)
FY1999	sprmb	($1,526.01)	$1,187.09	$830.58	($2,713.10)	($3,543.68)
FY2000	sprmb	($2,713.10)	$1,655.98	$980.03	($4,369.08)	($5,349.11)
FY2001	sprmb	$3,630.92	$1,171.51	$2,197.31	$2,459.41	$262.10
FY2002	sprmb	$4,959.41	$3,708.72	$667.92	$1,250.69	$582.77
FY2003	sprmb	$1,250.69	$1,998.05	$1,186.05	($747.36)	($1,933.41)
FY2004	sprmb	$5,000.00	$2,789.20	$3,484.22	$2,210.80	($1,273.42)
FY2005	sprmb	$15,000.00	$6,402.40	$2,650.25	$8,597.60	$5,947.35
FY2006	sprmb	$5,000.00	$5,469.26	$560.40	($469.26)	($1,029.66)
FY2007	sprmb	$3,500.00	$1,840.71	$1,888.51	$1,659.29	($229.22)
	sprmb Total		$27,748.93			
FY1998	undex	($100.00)	($100.00)	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
FY1999	undex	$914.00	($61.05)	$0.00	$975.05	$975.05
FY2000	undex	$975.05	$36.05	$15.00	$939.00	$924.00
FY2001	undex	$8.85	$8.85	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
FY2003	undex	$11,000.00	$44.51	$0.00	$10,955.49	$10,955.49
FY2004	undex	$10,955.49	$322.12	$30.01	$10,633.37	$10,603.36
FY2005	undex	$1,000.00	$173.56	$300.00	$826.44	$526.44
FY2006	undex	$3,000.00	$952.13	$493.95	$2,047.87	$1,553.92
FY2007	undex	$1,000.00	$633.85	$68.00	$366.15	$298.15
	undex Total		$2,010.02			
FY1998	xmacx	$4,641.00	$3,103.60	$515.55	$1,537.40	$1,021.85
FY1999	xmacx	$1,614.40	$879.59	$601.02	$734.81	$133.79
FY2000	xmacx	$734.81	$147.96	$167.04	$586.85	$419.81
FY2001	xmacx	$1,086.85	$379.38	$120.00	$707.47	$587.47
FY2002	xmacx	$4,007.47	$1,992.17	$195.00	$2,015.30	$1,820.30
FY2003	xmacx	$2,015.30	$86.11	$165.00	$1,929.19	$1,764.19
FY2004	xmacx	$1,929.19	$38.97	$120.00	$1,890.22	$1,770.22
FY2005	xmacx	$1,900.00	$0.00	$120.00	$1,900.00	$1,780.00
FY2006	xmacx	$300.00	$0.00	$120.00	$300.00	$180.00
FY2007	xmacx	$120.00	$0.00	$120.00	$120.00	$0.00
	xmacx Total		$6,627.78			
	Grand Total		$722,041.17			